



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
Development
451 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, DC 20410
www.hud.gov
espanol.hud.gov

**Tiered Environment Review
for Activity/Project that is
Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5
Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)**

Project Information

Project Name: NNPHD-Lead-Hazard-Reduction

HEROS Number: 900000010538351

Start Date: 06/09/2026

Responsible Entity (RE): Northeast Nebraska Public Health, 801 Milo Dr Wayne NE, 68787

State / Local Identifier:

RE Preparer: Korbyn Nelson

Certifying Officer: Jill Belt

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

By checking this box, I attest that as a consultant, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Additional Location Information:

N/A

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Program funds will be used to provide lead-based paint associated reduction services in residential units in Cedar, Dixon, Thurston, and Wayne County Nebraska. A tier II site specific ER will be completed for each project location once lead inspection risk assessment and a scope of work have been completed. The Northeast Nebraska Public Health Department proposes the lead remediation of 46 housing units within the four counties. All selected units shall be constructed prior to 1978 with identified lead contamination on the form of paint, dust, and/or soil. All units will be privately owned with both single-family and multi-family eligible. all units assisted will be occupied by households at or below 80% of the area median household income and be vacant (limited to 10%), have a child under the age of 6 present, or a pregnant female. Approximate cost per unit will be \$25,000 in lead remediation and \$8,000 in Healthy Homes. Expected work includes, but not limited to, the following abatement, interim controls, or healthy homes activities: removal of soil and backfill, removing paint from walls, replacing windows and doors, carpet cleaning or replacement, painting, and HEPA Cleaning. Healthy homes: installation of radon system, installation of smoke and carbon dioxide detectors

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Approximate size of the project area: more than 1 square mile

Length of time covered by this review: 5 Years

Maximum number of dwelling units or lots addressed by this tiered review:
46

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: 58.35(a)(3)

Determination:

	Extraordinary circumstances exist and this project may result in significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA); OR
✓	There are no extraordinary circumstances which would require completion of an EA, and this project may remain CEST.

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
NELHB0831-25	Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control		\$2,936,300.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$2,936,300.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$2,936,300.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Was compliance achieved at the broad level of review?	Describe here compliance determinations made at the broad level and source documentation.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6		
Airport Hazards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is met at a broad level because the program is limited to minor rehabilitation without an increase in size or density of a structure, new construction, or change in land use from industrial or commercial to residential. Further, the activities listed in the project description will not significantly prolong the physical or economic life of existing single-family residences. The program complies with this environmental review factor, and no further analysis is required.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is met at a broad level because there are no designated Coastal Barrier Resource Units in the grantee service area. No further analysis is required.
Flood Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5		
Air Quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is met at a broad level because the program does not include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of

		public, commercial or industrial facilities. No further analysis is required.
Coastal Zone Management Act	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is met at the broad level because there is no coastline in the grantee service area and therefore the proposed projects do not trigger analysis of this environmental review factor
Contamination and Toxic Substances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Endangered Species Act	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is met at a broad level because the program is limited to minor rehabilitation of existing single-family homes which will have no effect on endangered species or habitat. No further analysis is required.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	he following language may be used in the description box: Compliance is met at a broad level because program activities will not include development, construction, or rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion. No further analysis is required.
Farmlands Protection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is met at a broad level because the program consists of completing interior renovations to existing single-family residences and would not include any activities such as new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land, or conversion of land use. No further analysis is required.
Floodplain Management	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Per 24 CFR 55.13b, compliance is met because the decision-making process of HUDs Floodplain Management and Protection of Wetland regulations does not apply to financial assistance for minor repairs or improvements on one-to four-family properties that do not meet the thresholds for substantial improvement under 55.2b12. No further analysis is required.
Historic Preservation	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Noise Abatement and Control	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is achieved at a broad level because the program consists solely of maintenance and minor rehabilitation.

		HUDs Noise regulation applies to modernization grants, major or substantial rehabilitation. See 24 CFR 51.101a5. No further analysis is required.
Sole Source Aquifers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance with this factor is met at a broad level because the proposed project consists solely of maintenance and or minor rehabilitation of existing buildings. No further analysis is required.
Wetlands Protection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance is met at a broad level because the program does not fund new construction or expansion of a building footprint. Further, any ground disturbance associated with minor home rehabilitation projects funded by the program would be limited to previously disturbed ground within the immediate perimeter of the home. No further analysis is required.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Compliance with this factor is met at a broad level given that review of the Rivers.gov website shows no designated Wild and Scenic Rivers in the grantees service area. No further analysis is required.

Supporting documentation

Written Strategies

The following strategies provide the policy, standard, or process to be followed in the site-specific review for each law, authority, and factor that will require completion of a site-specific review.

1	Flood Insurance
	Each project site will be identified on a Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map and proof of flood insurance will be provided if a project over ten thousand dollars lies within a designated Special Flood Hazard Area. The flood map will be attached to the Tier 2 environmental review. When required, proof of insurance will also be attached to the Tier 2 environmental review. A summary of findings will be included in the Tier 2 environmental review compliance determination table.
2	Contamination and Toxic Substances

	<p>As an initial screening, the federal NEPAAssist website and or state websites will be utilized to evaluate proposed project sites for health and safety risk of resident exposure to uncontained contaminants and toxins. Sites with potential for risk will be further evaluated to determine an appropriate course of action. For radon, a naturally occurring gas, the concentration inside a home will be considered by testing or a review of CDC online data, according to HUD policy. Any sites with a radon concentration of 4 Pico Curies per liter or higher will require a radon mitigation plan. For lead, any home constructed before 1978 with a proposed project that could disturb painted surfaces will be tested, treated, and cleared of lead-based paint hazards as specified in the grant Notice of Funding Opportunity or Lead Safe Housing Rule, whichever is more stringent. In some cases, projects may be rejected, depending on the nature and degree of contamination and relative risk. A summary of findings for the initial screening evaluation, radon and lead, will be included in the Tier 2 compliance determination table. Mitigation measures will also be included in the Tier 2 environmental review as appropriate.</p>
<p>3</p>	<p>Historic Preservation</p> <p>All homes built more than 50 years ago will be reviewed by a state or tribal Historic Preservation Officer to establish whether the home is historic or a contributing element to a historic district. Proposed projects in a home identified as historic, in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, must achieve a No Adverse Effect or No Historic Properties Affected determination from the Historic Preservation Officer which may require necessary changes to a scope of work. Projects that cannot achieve a No Adverse Effect or No Historic Properties Affected determination will be rejected.</p>

Supporting documentation

APPENDIX A: Site Specific Reviews